Agenda Item	Commit	tee Date	Application Number
А9	22 August 2016		16/00570/FUL
Application Site		Proposal	
Brookside Whams Lane Bay Horse Lancaster		Demolition of agricultural building, erection of a detached residential dwelling, a garage/workshop, installation of solar array panel and erection of two polytunnels	
Name of Applicant		Name of Agent	
Mr Ken Parker		Chris Weetman	
Decision Target Date		Reason For Delay	
6 July 2016		Awaiting additional plans and Committee Cycle	
Case Officer		Mrs Kim Ireland	
Departure		No	
Summary of Recommendation		Refusal	

(i) Procedural Matters

The proposed development would normally fall within the scheme of delegation. However, Councillor Charles has requested that the application be referred to the Planning Committee for a decision on the grounds that the proposal will enhance the character and appearance of the countryside area due to the replacement of an outbuilding and a garage with a dwelling, garage/workshop, ground-mounted solar panels and polytunnel.

1.0 The Site and its Surroundings

- 1.1 The land which forms the subject of this application relates to land to the north east of the dwelling Brookside on Whams Lane in Bay Horse. Whams Lane lies approximately 3km south east of the village of Galgate and is a classified road (C499) which forms the main rural traffic route from Bay Horse on the A6 to Quernmore. The site is located in the open countryside within a continuous ribbon of residential development bisected by the M6 Motorway where Whams Lane crosses by bridge. The wider area is characterised by farmsteads and small clusters of buildings and isolated dwellings.
- 1.2 The site is allocated as a countryside area in the Lancaster District Local proposals map. There are two trees covered by a Tree Preservation Order that are situated east of the site boundary.

2.0 The Proposal

2.1 The application proposes the erection of one detached residential dwelling, a garage/workshop, installation of solar array panel and erection of two polytunnels. The proposed dwelling is to be sited to the north east of the dwelling of Brookside.

3.0 Site History

3.1 There have been three planning applications refused in 2005, 2007 and 2014 for the erection of a detached dwelling, two of which have been appealed and dismissed.

Application Number	Proposal	Decision
05/01600/FUL	Erection of a 2 storey detached dwelling and separate garage on land to the side	Refused
07/01613/OUT	Outline application for the demolition of existing bungalow and agricultural building and erection of two 4 bedroom houses	Refused (Appeal Dismissed)
14/00647/OUT	Outline application for the demolition of existing building and erection of one residential detached dwelling and detached garage	Refused (Appeal Dismissed)

4.0 Consultation Responses

4.1 The following responses have been received from statutory and non-statutory consultees:

Consultee	Response
Parish Council	No comments have been received during the statutory consultation period.
County Highways	No objections , subject to conditions requiring additional information being submitted in relation to a construction method statement and the materials that are to be used on the access. Conditions also regarding the access and turning space is to be built as per drawings and that any gateposts are positioned 5m behind the nearside edge of the carriageway.
Environmental	No objections, subject to conditions restricting the hours of construction and
Health	mitigating contaminated land.
National Grid	No comments have been received during the statutory consultation period.
Tree Protection Officer	No objections , subject to conditions requiring a Tree Works Schedule and a detailed arboricultural Method Statement, a scheme indicating type and distribution of all new trees and a tree protection plan. Also to make sure that the development is carried out as per submitted Arboricultural Implications Assessment.
United Utilities	No objection

5.0 Neighbour Representations

- Two pieces of correspondence objecting to the application have been received. The reasons for opposition include the following:
 - Poor design and the location of the dwelling severely impinges and overshadows the single storey adjoining premises.
 - Much of the previous tree/orchard cover to the east of the site has been removed and would need to be replaced to preserve amenity.
 - The site presents the last remaining open view area along this section of Whams Lane.
 - The proposed plastic polytunnel, composting area and elevated solar panels will diminish the amenity value of the area and surrounding properties.
 - The proposed polytunnels have the potential to create noise with flapping plastic

6.0 Principal National and Development Plan Policies

6.1 The National Planning Policy Framework indicates that the purpose of the planning system is to contribute to the achievement of sustainable development. At the heart of the NPPF is a presumption in favour of sustainable development (**paragraph 14**). The following paragraphs of the NPPF are relevant to the determination of this proposal:

National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)

Paragraph 17 - 12 Core Principles

Paragraphs 14 – Presumption in Favour of Sustainable Development Criteria

Paragraph 47, 49, 53 and 55 – Delivering a Wide Choice of High Quality Homes

Paragraph **56** – Requiring Good Design

6.2 <u>Development Management DPD</u>

DM20 – Enhancing Accessibility and Transport Linkages

DM22 – Vehicle Parking Provision

DM28 – Development and Landscape Impact

DM35 – Key Design Principles

DM41 – New Residential Development

DM42 – Managing Rural Housing Growth

Appendix B: Car Parking Standards

6.3 <u>Lancaster District Core Strategy</u>

SC1 – Sustainable development

SC4 – Meeting housing requirements

6.4 <u>Lancaster District Local Plan (saved policies)</u>

E4 – Countryside area

7.0 Comment and Analysis

- 7.1 Principle of development;
 - Scale, layout and design;
 - Impact on residential amenity of neighbouring properties;
 - Access and highway impacts; and
 - Impact on trees.

7.2 <u>Principle of Development</u>

- 7.2.1 This application seeks consent for the erection of one 2-storey, detached residential dwelling with associated garage/workshop, installation of a panel of solar arrays and erection of two polytunnels on land on the north west side of Whams Lane in a rural location known as Bay Horse. Bay Horse is not identified within policy DM42 as being a rural village that is considered to be in a sustainable location for new residential development. Development should be located in sustainable locations, where there is access to an appropriate range of local services that contribute to the vitality of these settlements. These services are local shops, education and health facilities, access to public transport and other valued community facilities. Proposals should demonstrate that they have clear benefits for the local community, and in particular will meet rural housing needs according to robust evidence. In terms of services, there are two public houses (The Fleece and the Bay Horse Inn) within 1.5km of the application site and a stop for school buses at Five Lane Ends (0.5km from the site).
- In Paragraph 55 of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) it sets out that where there are groups of smaller settlements, development in one village may support services nearby. This is reflected in the wording of Development Management DPD policy DM42. However, this site would not have any discernable relationship with any of the other, more sustainable settlements in the surrounding area. Dolphinholme is the closest village but is located 2.5km to the east. Galgate, which contains more local services and facilities, is situated 3km to the north west. There are no safe walking routes to gain access to either of these settlements as the intervening highways are predominantly unlit with no footpaths and national speed limits. Therefore, any future resident of this proposal would be heavily reliant on private, motorised vehicles. There is not considered to be a convincing argument that the development of a single dwelling on this site would help sustain the vitality in either of the aforementioned villages given the distance and the absence of footpaths between them.
- 7.2.3 Policy DM20 of the Development Management DPD sets out that proposals should minimise the need to travel, particularly by private car, and maximise the opportunities for the use of walking, cycling and public transport and to focus development in locations which offer a choice of modes of transport. The villages of Galgate and Dolphinholme, which are in a similar part of the District, are currently identified as settlements where growth would be supported and these have more services which would reduce the need to travel. As such, by locating development in villages where there are services it will enhance or maintain the vitality of rural communities by supporting those existing services. This approach complies with the NPPF, the overarching aim of which is a presumption in favour of sustainable development. As the proposal is not located within a settlement which is

considered suitable for growth, the site would be dealt with in policy terms as it was located within the open countryside.

- 7.2.4 The NPPF sets out that new isolated homes in the countryside should be avoided unless there are special circumstances such as: the essential need for a rural worker to live permanently at or near their place of work in the countryside; where development would represent the optimal viable use of a heritage asset; where development would re-use redundant or disused buildings and lead to an enhancement of the immediate setting; or where a dwelling is of exceptional quality or innovative design. This proposal does not fall into any of these categories and as such it is considered that the proposal does not provide a sufficient justification for a new dwelling in a location which is considered to be unsustainable.
- 7.2.5 Paragraph 49 of the NPPF sets out that relevant policies for the supply of housing should not be considered up to date if the local planning authority cannot demonstrate a five year supply of housing. Although this is currently the case, the Council has a very clear approach to sustainable development within rural locations. It is not considered that a lack of a five year housing land supply justifies a dwelling in this location which does not comply with the Council's approach to sustainable development across the District.
- 7.2.6 Notwithstanding the need to boost significantly the supply of housing (as defined by the NPPF, and paragraph 47 in particular), and the fact that housing applications should be considered in the context of the presumption in favour of sustainable development (paragraph 49), this proposal for a private detached residential dwelling in open countryside does not represent sustainable development. It is not a location that can be made sustainable and so approving the application would run contrary to the NPPF and Development Plan policies. This position has supported by the Planning Inspector at the recent appeal for 14/00647/OUT. That appeal decision (23 July 2015), has been attached as a background paper. Members will note that the appeal was dismissed. The Inspector concluded that whilst utilisation of renewable energy, incorporation of sustainable building techniques, and self-sufficiency in respect of growing food would be factors that would weigh in support of the development, they do not outweigh the harm which was identified in respect of the sustainability of the location and therefore the proposal did not amount to sustainable development. Matters relating to sustainability have not changed, and so the current application must also be recommended for refusal.

7.3 Scale, Layout and Design

- 7.3.1 There is a mixture of different sizes and designs of dwellings in this locality that are predominantly two storey dwellings and bungalows. The proposed dwelling is of a simple design and proportion and will be made up of red brick walls, under a grey tiled roof with timber windows and doors.
- 7.3.2 The dwelling would be set back 8m away from the road with a small garden to the front. A shared footpath/driveway would be situated to the side, which would benefit from a permeable surface and form the access to a small parking court to the rear between the proposed dwelling and the proposed garage/workshop. The plans appear to show areas of private amenity space to the other side of the dwelling, which are considered to be an acceptable size and exceed the Council's adopted standard of 50sq.m. However, the extent of the garden space is not explicitly stated on the submitted plan, so if Members are minded to approve this application the extent of the domestic garden associated with the new dwelling would need to be carefully considered and conditioned accordingly. Given the above, it is considered that the building is in keeping with the character and appearance of the area and will not have a detrimental impact on the locality.
- 7.3.3 The proposed garage/workshop would be 7m in width, 8.9m in length and 6.3m in height to the ridge and would be sited to the north west of the proposed dwelling and constructed of red brick walls, under a grey tiled roof with timber windows and side door. The proposed solar panels would be installed to the north of the proposed dwelling and would be 8.1m in length, 2.95m in width and 2.4m in height. The proposed polytunnels are to be sited to the north of the proposed solar panels and would be 23.5m in length, 15m in width and 3m in height.
- 7.3.4 Development Management DPD policy DM35 states that new development should make a positive contribution to the identity and character of the area through good design, having regard to local distinctiveness, appropriate siting, layout, palette of materials, separating distances, orientation and scale. Policy DM28 of the same DPD also states that the development proposals should, through

their siting, scale, massing, materials and design seek to contribute positively to the conservation and enhancement of the protected landscape. Whilst the design of the dwelling is considered to be acceptable and meets the requirements of policy DM35, the polytunnels will all be highly visible from Whams Lane. However polytunnels are usually found in the countryside area and therefore the design, materials and having regard to the local distinctiveness are seen to comply with policies DM28 and DM35 and saved policy E4 of the Local Plan.

7.4 <u>Impact on residential amenity of neighbouring properties</u>

Policy DM35 of the Development Management DPD states that new development should make a positive contribution to the identity and character of the area through good design, having regard to local distinctiveness, appropriate siting, layout, palette of materials, separating distances, orientation and scale.

7.4.1 There have been two letters of objections received from neighbouring properties. One of the grounds of objection relates to the location of the proposed development and how it would severely impinge upon and overshadow the single storey adjoining property. Paragraph 17 of the NPPF states that one of the twelve principles of planning should be to always seek to secure high quality design and a good standard of amenity for all existing and future occupants of land and buildings. To the east of the site is the two-storey property of Oak Villa. The nearest part of the proposed development is the polytunnels that are sited 23m away from the neighbouring property. Consequently given the distance to the neighbouring property, the proposed development is not thought to have a detrimental impact upon the residential amenity enjoyed by Oak Villa and are found contrary to DM35 of the Development Management DPD and the provisions of paragraph 17 of the NPPF.

7.5 Access and Highway Impacts

7.5.1 The application proposes access is to be established from Whams Lane. Given the road is used for access by all of the properties that are situated along Whams Lane and the nature and scale of the proposal, it is not considered that there would be an adverse impact upon highway safety. This is echoed by County Highways who have raised no objections to the proposal.

7.6 Impact on Trees

7.6.1 There are existing trees that are to the north, east and south boundaries of the site, some of which are subject to a Tree Preservation Order (TPO). There are no proposals to remove the trees with the exception of those that are identified to the north west of the existing dwelling of Brookside that are in a poor overall condition and are not subject to the TPO. The Tree Protection Officer has raised no objections subject to the submission of a tree protection plan, a tree works schedule and an arboricultural method statement.

8.0 Planning Obligations

8.1 There are no planning obligations to consider as part of this application.

9.0 Conclusions

- 9.1 The principle of the proposed dwelling is not supported. The site remains unsustainable, as the Planning Inspector concluded last year, and the proposal is clearly contrary to Policy DM42 and section 6 of the NPPF, as it is proposed to be located within Bay Horse, which contains minimal key services and consequently is not sustainable in terms of its location. Additionally the proposal has not demonstrated that there are clear benefits for the local community, and in particular has not provided robust evidence that it will meet an identified rural housing need.
- 9.2 The proposal fails to satisfy Policy DM20 that sets out that the proposal should minimise the need to travel, particularly by car. The villages of Galgate and Dolphinholme have been identified as sustainable villages that can support growth as there are services within these settlements that will enhance and maintain the vitality of rural communities in which they serve. However, the application site is divorced from both of these villages by at least 2.5km. Future residents would be heavily reliant on private forms of motorised transport, which is also contrary to the overarching aim of the NPPF a presumption in favour of sustainable development.

9.3 The proposed polytunnels by reason of their size, scale and footprint are thought to have an unneighbourly feature at a relatively close proximity to the neighbouring property of Oak Villa and therefore are contrary to policies DM35 DPD and paragraph 17 of the NPPF.

Recommendation

That Planning Permission **BE REFUSED** for the following reasons:

- 1. The site is located within Whams Lane in a central position of existing ribbon development in the rural hamlet of Bay Horse. Bay Horse contains minimal key services and as such is not considered to be sustainable in terms of its location. The site does not have immediate and direct access to key services and infrastructure and would realistically only be accessible by using a private car. In addition it has not been demonstrated that the development would enhance or maintain the vitality of the local community or help sustain services in nearby settlements. There has been no exceptional justification provided to support this development in an unsustainable rural location such as an existing agricultural or forestry need. As such the proposal is therefore contrary to the aims and objectives of the National Planning Policy Framework, in particular the Core Planning Principles, and Section 6, Core Strategy policy SC1, and Policies DM20 (criteria II) and DM42 of the Development Management Development Plan Document.
- 2. The proposed polytunnels, by virtue of their size, scale and footprint, will be a dominant feature in the wider landscape and will be an unneighbourly feature at relatively close proximity to the nearest neighbouring dwelling (Oak Villa). As a consequence they are considered to represent inappropriate development by virtue of the impact upon Oak Villa and are therefore considered contrary to policy DM35 of the Development Management DPD and the provisions of paragraph 17 of the National Planning Policy Framework.

Article 35, Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order 2015

In accordance with the above legislation, the City Council can confirm the following:

Lancaster City Council takes a positive and proactive approach to development proposals, in the interests of delivering sustainable development. As part of this approach the Council offers a pre-application service, aimed at positively influencing development proposals. Regrettably the applicant has failed to take advantage of this service and the resulting proposal is unacceptable for the reasons prescribed in the Notice. The applicant is encouraged to utilise the pre-application service prior to the submission of any future planning applications, in order to engage with the local planning authority to attempt to resolve the reasons for refusal.

Human Rights Act

This recommendation has been reached after consideration of the provisions of The Human Rights Act. Unless otherwise stated in this report, the issues arising do not appear to be of such magnitude to override the responsibility of the City Council to regulate land use for the benefit of the community as a whole, in accordance with national law.

Background Papers

1. Appeal Decision APP/A2335/W/15/3003571 – Brookside, Whams Lane, Bay Horse.